

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/780,817	02/18/2004	Floyd Backes	160-046	1490	
34845	7590 07/25/2006		EXAMINER		
McGUINNESS & MANARAS LLP			HOLLIDAY, JAIME MICHELE		
125 NAGOG I ACTON, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617	2617	

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/780,817	BACKES ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jaime M. Holliday	2617		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 10 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	CATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ig replies: (1) an amendmer e of Appeal (with appeal fee	nt, affidavit, or other evider e) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	ate of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late	isory Action, or (2) the date set		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706	.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the she set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding am ortened statutory period for repl	nount of the fee. The appropri y originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e	e)), to avoid dismissal of the	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons			ecause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	;		
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or</li></ul>	r form for appeal by materia	illy reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a co NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	rresponding number of final	lly rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121	. See attached Notice of No	on-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		•	` '
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s).		rate, timely filed amendm	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	,		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but I because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	ercome all rejections under	appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims a	fter entry is below or attac	hed.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but a See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the applica	tion in condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (P</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	TO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pa	iper No(s)	
		JOSEPH FEILD	
	SUPERV	SORY PATENT EXAMI	NED

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior references show the argued features. Applicant bascially argues that the Office has mistaken the claimed selection of transmission power fro selection of a channel. Examiner respectfully disagrees, because Strawczynski et al. teach that the subscriber terminal measures the power level of each traffic channel in the list against a threshold to determine whether it is useable. The traffic channel determined to contain the least power level of those scanned is selected by the subscriber terminal. The power level selection and channel selection are performed at the same time, and are implemented together. Therefore, Examiner maintains rejection.